



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/918,301	07/30/2001	Paul G. Allen	4000.2.52	9860
32641	7590	11/24/2004		
DIGEO, INC C/O STOEL RIVES LLP 201 SOUTH MAIN STREET, SUITE 1100 ONE UTAH CENTER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			EXAMINER MIRZA, ADNAN M	
			ART UNIT 2145	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/918,301	ALLEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Adnan M Mirza	2145	

**-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --**

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 July 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-61 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 July 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) *                      | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)               | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>01/13/2003</u> .  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103*

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arnott (U.S. 2002/0083462) and Miller et al (US 2002/0049977).

As per claim 1,20,39 Arnott disclosed a method for displaying video streams received from multiple terminals linked by a network, the method comprising: receiving a plurality of video streams at a network terminal; simultaneously displaying the video streams in a user interface provided by the network terminal (Page. 4, Paragraph 0043);

However Arnott did not disclose in detail ranking at least a portion of the video streams according to a set of ranking criteria, and arranging at least a portion of the displayed video streams in order of rank.

In the same field of endeavor Miller disclosed the transmission between the centralized facility and the local center in controlled by a stream manager capable based upon various criteria, of

Art Unit: 2145

prioritizing the transmission of different video streams both live and previously stored, determining whether certain video data should be stored at the local center, distributing the total volume of video data over multiple networks (Page. 1, Paragraph. 0006).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to have incorporated the transmission between the centralized facility and the local center in controlled by a stream manager capable based upon various criteria, of prioritizing the transmission of different video streams both live and previously stored, determining whether certain video data should be stored at the local center, distributing the total volume of video data over multiple networks as taught by Miller in the method of Arnott to overcome the disadvantage of streaming the content of the video according to the criteria and prioritize to display on the screen.

3. As per claims 2,21,40 Arnott-Miller disclosed further comprising: detecting a scene change within a first video stream; and promoting the first video stream to a higher rank (Miller, Page. 2, Paragraph 0023).

4. As per claims 3,22,41 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein at least one video stream comprises a scene change, and wherein at least a portion of the video streams are ranked according to recency of scene changes (Miller, Page. 3, Paragraph. 0027).

Art Unit: 2145

5. As per claims 4,23,42 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein at least one video stream comprises a scene change, and wherein at least a portion of the video streams are ranked according to frequency of scene changes (Miller, Page. 3, Paragraph 0033 & 0038).

6. As per claims 5,24,43 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein the video streams are ranked according to popularity (Miller, Page. 2, Paragraph 0025).

7. As per claims 6,25,44 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein at least one video stream is promoted to a higher rank in response to the time of day (Miller, Page. 2, Paragraph 0022).

8. As per claims 7,26,45 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein at least one video stream is promoted to a higher rank in response to the day of the week (Miller, Page. 2, Paragraph 0023).

9. As per claims 8,27,46 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein at least one video stream is promoted to a higher rank in response to information contained within a user's calendar (Miller, Page. 3, Paragraph. 0027).

10. As per claims 9,28,47 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein displaying comprises: displaying the video streams in a grid format in the user interface (Miller, Page. 1, Paragraph. 0013).

Art Unit: 2145

11. As per claims 10,29,48 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein a video stream displayed near the top of the user interface has a higher rank than a video stream displayed near the bottom of the user interface (Miller, Page. 2, Paragraph. 0019).

12. As per claims 11,30,49 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein a video stream displayed near the left side of the user interface has a higher rank than a video stream displayed near the right side of the user interface (Miller, Page. 3, Paragraph 0027).

13. As per claims 12,31,50 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein displaying comprises: displaying the video streams in a ticker format in the user interface (Miller, Page. 1, Paragraph. 0013).

14. As per claims 13,32,51 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein the ticker format comprises a moving carousel having a beginning position and an ending position (Miller, Page. 2, Paragraph 0025), and wherein a video stream displayed near the beginning position has a higher rank than a video stream displayed near the ending position (Miller, Page. 3, Paragraph 0027).

15. As per claims 14,33,52 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein displaying comprises: emphasizing the video stream of highest rank (Miller, Page. 3, Paragraph. 0029).

Art Unit: 2145

16. As per claims 15,34,53 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein emphasizing comprises: enlarging the video stream of highest rank as displayed on the user interface relative to the other video streams (Miller, Page. 2, Paragraph. 0025).

17. As per claims 16,35,54 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein the network comprises one of a cable network and a direct satellite broadcast (DBS) network (Miller, Page. 1, Paragraph. 0013).

18. As per claims 17,36,55 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein the terminal comprises an interactive television system (Miller, Page. 1, Paragraph. 0013).

19. As per claims 18,37,56 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein at least one video stream comprises a broadcast television program (Miller, Page. 1, Paragraph. 0013).

20. As per claims 19,38,57 Arnott-Miller disclosed wherein at least one video stream comprises live video generated by a web cam (Miller, Page. 2, Paragraph. 0023).

21. As per claims 58,59 Arnott-Miller disclosed a method for displaying video streams received from multiple web cams linked by a network, the method comprising: receiving a plurality of video streams at an interactive television system coupled to the network; simultaneously displaying the video streams in a user interface provided by the interactive television system (Miller, Page. 1, Paragraph. 0013); ranking at least a portion of the video streams according to a user-defined set of ranking criteria (Miller, Page. 2, Paragraph 0018);

Art Unit: 2145

arranging at least a portion of the displayed video streams in the user interface in order of rank; detecting a change of scene within a first video stream; promoting the first video stream to a higher rank; and re-arranging at least a portion of the displayed video streams in the user interface in order of rank (Miller, Page. 2, Paragraph 0023).

22. Claims 60-61 has the same limitations as to claim 58 therefore under the same criteria claims 60-61 can be rejected.

### *Conclusion*

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (571)-272-3885.

24. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dharia Rupal can be reached on (571)-272-3880. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239.

25. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:



Art Unit: 2145

(703)-746-7239 (For Status Inquiries, Informal or Draft Communications, please label

“PROPOSED” or “DRAFT”);

(703)-746-7239 (For Official Communications Intended for entry, please mark “EXPEDITED  
PROCEDURE”),

(703)-746-7238 (For After Final Communications).

26. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding  
should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900.

Any response to a final action should be mailed to:

BOX AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C.20231

Or faxed to:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 4<sup>th</sup> Floor Receptionist, Crystal Park II,  
2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202.

*Am*

Adnan Mirza

Examiner

*Jack B. Harvey*  
JACK B. HARVEY  
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER